

- Home
- Profile Information
- Education History
- Employment History
- Honors & Awards
- Applications
- 2019-Predoctoral Appl. Data Review
- Review Result

**Review Result: 2019-Predoctoral Appl.**

The review of applications for the Ford Foundation 2019 Predoctoral Fellowship Competition has been completed. We regret to inform you that we are unable to offer you a fellowship. However, we are pleased to inform you that your application was sufficiently meritorious to receive special recognition. Unless you requested otherwise, your name will appear on the Honorable Mention List, which will be posted on the Fellowships Office Web Page.

A total of 2101 applications were reviewed by panels composed of highly qualified science, engineering, social sciences, and humanities scholars from across the nation. Since only 70 awards were available, many deserving candidates could not be selected.

On behalf of the Ford Foundation and the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, we thank you for your participation in this competition and hope that you will be able to make other arrangements for the successful completion of your graduate education.

**Rater Feedback**

Your application was reviewed by 2 raters. Check marks indicate the raters' evaluation for each criteria, if provided. Comments are displayed at the end. If you have questions regarding your feedback, please refer to the Review Results and Rater Feedback section of the [Applicant FAQ page](#).

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	N/A
<b>Academic Achievement</b>					
Educational background (degrees, transcripts)	✓	✓			
Honors and awards		✓✓			
Employment history (if applicable)		✓	✓		
<b>Personal Statement</b>					
Evidence of a capacity to respond to needs of students from diverse backgrounds	✓	✓			
Evidence of a sustained personal engagement with groups underrepresented in academe	✓		✓		
Evidence of likelihood of using diversity as a resource in teaching and scholarship	✓	✓			
<b>Scholarly Productivity</b>					
Statement of previous research		✓	✓		
Publications and Presentations			✓✓		
<b>Proposed Plan</b>					
Quality of proposed academic/research plan		✓	✓		
Evidence of applicant's ability to achieve stated goals		✓✓			
Appropriateness of proposed institution	✓		✓		
Relationship of plan to applicant's long-range career goals	✓	✓			
<b>Letters of Recommendation</b>					
Strength	✓	✓			
Appropriateness of choices		✓✓			
<b>Overall Assessment of this Fellowship Application</b>					
		✓	✓		

**Comments**

- Reviewer #1: Solid undergraduate GPA. Awards and recognitions are listed. Indicators of outreach and a vertical sense of community and potential for transformation. Presentation and work in progress presented. Very strong and positive endorsement from faculty. File is strong and makes a good case for funding.
- Reviewer #2: Excellent student with outstanding research experiences. Shows commitment to diversity.